DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 124 681

UD 016 141

AUTHOR TTLE INSTITUTION

Gordon, Edmund W.; And Others Tra Gordon Follow Through (Fichmond, Virginia). Columbia Univ., New York, N.Y. ERIC Clearinghouse on

the Urban Disadvantaged.

SPONS AGENCY

National Center for Educational Communication

(DHEW/OE), Washington, D.C.

72 PUB DATE

OEG-71-3946

GP ANT TTOP.

34p.; For full report, see ED 099 458; Part of project entitled Preparation of Publications on Progress in Compensatory Education and Desegregation Programs

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage. Enrichment Programs; Grade 1; Grade 2; Grade 3; Home Visits; *Intervention; Kindergarten; Low Income; Negro Students; *Paraprofessional School Personnel; *Parent School Relationship; *Parent Teacher Cooperation; *Primary Grades; Program Descriptions; Urban Schools

IDENTIFIERS

Educational Opportunity Act Title II; Follow Through

Program; *Virginia (Pichmond)

ABSTRACT

The Richmond, Virginia Follow Through program is currently in its sixth year of operation in grades kindergarten to three in 12 urban schools. Funded primarily by Educational Opportunity Act Title II funds, the program serves a student population in which the majority of pupils are black and 50 percent are from poor families. The program seeks to improve the learning ability of the child and the education and participation of the parent through a continuous program in which both home and school are integral parts of a single unit. The program provides educational, social and cultural experiences for the child and his family so that the entire environment becomes more conducive to learning. This is done through several methods: the institution of a new kind of paraprofessional, the parent educator, into the classroom; weekly home visits in which the parent educator collaborates with the parent on an educational task program for the child; field trips for parents and children; the fostering and encouragement of an active Parent Advisory committee; on extensive, continuous in-service training program; and a multi-level educational component. This program is , found to be exemplary for several reasons. (Author/JM)

Locuments acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions * supplied by EDPS are the best that can be made from the original. ******************



IRA GORDON FOLLOW THROUGH

(Richmond, Virginia)

U S DEPARTMENT OF NEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCIMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-CUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Information Retrieval Center on the Disadvantaged
. . Horace Mann-Lincoln Institute
Teachers College, Columbia University
New York, New York 10027

1972 .

This document was produced as part of the project entitled Preparation of Publications on Progress in Compensatory Education and Desegregation Programs which was funded by the U.S. Office of Education, Dissemination Center for Educational Communication (OEG-71-3946). Points of view or opinions expressed in this material do not necessarily represent the official view or opinions of the U.S. Office of Education.

Edmund W. Gordon, Project Director Carolyn Ralston Brownell, Project Coordinator Jenne K. Brittell, Project Editor

Preface

In efforts at impraving the quality of education and at justifying expenditures far compensatary education and school desegregation, we are increasingly dependent upon the data of evaluative research. Yet the data fram many af these evaluation efforts canducted aver the past twelve years are canfused and incanclusive. The findings fram these studies are sametimes cantradictary. The interpretations have became the subject af considerable cantraversy, particularly as these findings and interpretations appear ta contradict same af our cherished assumptions cancerning education and educability... The lack of clarity with respect to the meaning of these data and the value af such pragrams is in part attributable ta a variety af problems in the design and canduct af evaluative research. Among these problems, increasing attention is being called to the fact that there are sparse data cancerning the specific nature of pragram interventions. These tend to be reported under labels or brief descriptions which provide little information relative to the nature and quality of the treatments to which the pupils studied are expased. In an effort at gaining a better understanding af the cantent and nature of same af these pragrams, this praject was directed at describing selected pragrams thought to be exemplary af quality, pragress, trends ar prablems in campensatary education and school desegregation. Ten campensatary education programs and two school desegregation programs were selected far detailed description.

The principal pracedures utilized in this study included dacumentary

informants. The tasks to be accomplished included identification and selection of projects to be studied, collection of all available data on each project considered, field study of promising candidate projects, preparation of descriptive reports, final selection and reporting.

Following is the description of one of these selected programs.

For the complete report of this project see document number ED 099 458 in the ERIC system.

Designed to

enable parents to contribute to the education of their children increase parent participation in the education of their children that home and community a part of the children's learning experience decrease the impediments to learning inherent in a disequalized child's environment

permit the child to achieve greater cognitive skills
increase the child's confidence in self and ability
increase understanding and decrease tension within racially integrated
schools

Through

an instructional team composed of professionals and paraprofessional parent educators

a classroom situation in which the parent educator works closely with the teachers and children in the teaching situation

educational materials for home use which take into account school goals for the child and family expectations for the child's life styles and value systems

a weekly, continuous, structured system of home visits in which the parent educator works closely with individual parents about their child's program

an active, involved Parents Advisory Committee constant, continuous flow of information to/from school, community, home

The Richmond, Virginia Follow Through Program has created

intensive inservice programs for both professionals and parent educators
a system of highly committed parent educators
increased interest and participation by parents in the education of their
children

increased contact and communication between school and home improved racial understanding and decreased racial friction positive changes (testing results) in home adjustment and Personality Total Adjustment Scale (related to Home-Adjustment) for Follow

Through pupils positive verbal and quantitative gains in test scores for Follow Through pupils

ERIC

That a child's home environment can drastically affect his ability to learn, his understanding of self, and his confidence in the world are no loager unproven hypotheses. Recent pedagogical and psychological research has illustrated too clearly and too poignantly the close relationship between family situation and educational achievement. As in many other instances, re again the disequalized child is the victim. In recent years, educators have come to realize that to change the environment and the learning patterns only within the school does not suffice. Follow Through Programs have evolved as a response to this recognition. As the title indicates, these programs seek to effect change in the total environment of the child and thus to reinforce the changes initiated within the school. Like pebbles thrown into a pond, such programs touch and alter the lives of increasingly wider citcles of people -- children, parents, community. Various models of Follow Through programs are in use in school systems throughout the United States. In Richmond, Virginia, the site of this exemplary program, the Ira Gordon model which emphasized parent involvement and education, is in use.

SUMMARY

The Richmond, Virginia Follow Through program is currently in its sixth Year of operation in grades K-3 in twelve urban schools. Funded primarily by EOA Title II funds, the program serves a student population in which the majority of pupils are black and 50% are from families with incomes below the OEO poverty level. The program seeks to improve the learning ability of the child and the education and participation of the parent through a continuous program in which both home and school are integral parts of a single unit. The program provides educational, social and cultural experiences for the child and his family so that the entire environment becomes more conducive to learning. This is done through several methods: the institution of a new kind of paraprofessional, the parent educator, into the classroom; weekly

home visits in which the parent educator collaborates with the parent on an educational task program for the child; field trips for parents and children; the fostering and encouragement of an active Parent Advisory Committee involved in all phases of the program; an extensive, continuous in-service training program; and a multi-level educational component for parents. In addition, by the presence of both black and white parent educators in integrated classrooms and at home visits with both white and black parents, the program aspires to decrease the racial tensions present in many cities that have integrated their schools in the wake of the 1954 Brown decisions. This program is exemplary for several reasons: (1) the design model considers the total environment of the child a single unit that will determine either positively or negatively the child's development; (2) it has increased parent participation and effectiveness in the child's education; and (3) a concrete concept of teacher behavior exists and, through the close collaboration between home and school, is adapted to individual need. Moreover, commitment to change by staff, children, and parents is necessitated by the program design. Finally and, perhaps most important, this Follow Through program affects the relationships and attitudes that the parents, children, and staff have with each other, educational institutions, the community and society.

WHERE

Like many other cities in the southern part of the United States, Richmond has a changing population pattern. Today its population is 75 percent black and the Richmond student body is 70 percent black. In the past few years, a series of court decisions have ordered increased busing and many of the white families have moved out of the city into the surrounding areas. However, a



1971 decision ordering the combination of districts to achieve further racial integration was recently overruled. Thus, this issue is still unresolved.

As a result of the federal legs lation of the 1960's, including the Civil Right: Act of 1964 and the Voting K ghts Act of 1965, black political participation has increased, but is still limited. The Richmond Follow Through program affects the East End and Gregon Hill areas of the city. Both communities are poor. Most of the blacks live in the East End section. Nearly twenty-nine percent (28.7%) of the 45,000 inhabitants have less than \$2,000 in annual income, and 48 percent of the area had less than \$3,000. Of the adult population (25 years and older), 61.7 percent have completed only eight years of school. Because of limited education and little industry, unemployment rates are high. Approximately 800 families (predominantly white) live in the Oregon Hill area. Oregon Hill's black families have a median income of \$3658; its white families, of approximately \$5156. In addition to the Follow Through program, other federal funded school programs including Headstart, Model Cities, and Title I, exist.

WHO

THE STUDENTS

Seven hundred and fifty-one students, the majority of them black, participate in the Follow Through Program. They are enrolled in grades K-3 in twelve Richmond schools (total enrollment: 7, 348 pupils). The income levels are under \$5,000 for 50% of the children's families. (Follow Through programs must include 50% from below poverty level.) Fifty percent of the children have previously been enrolled in Head Start programs. The remaining 50% come from the community at large. The criteria for selection is applied



primarily to those within the poverty category: short attention span; low level in both verbal and non-verbal function; negative self-image; poor health; evidence of aptitude for hearning; high absentee rate; family history; economic needs; and racial balance (80% white; 20% black). Although the disadvantaged students in the program started with limited backgrounds and test scores indicative of low achievement in reading, at the end of the year they had made gains that correlate with age and grade level. White students in the program appear to enjoy it and have made gains as well.

WHEN AND WHY

The Richmond Follow Through Program utilizes the Ira Gordon Parent Education model designed by the Florida Institute of Human Resources, College of Education, University of Florida. The planning staff consisted of Mrs. Virgie Binford, now the Follow Through Director, and representatives of the Virginia Education Association, Richmond Principals Association, Association of Directors and Principals, Elementary Teachers Association, and Secondary Teachers Association. Local civic and community organizations were also recruited to involve the community and professional staff in the program's inception.

In the fall of 1966 Richmond inaugurated this Follow Through program because in these schools the majority of kindergarten children, as a result of their disequalized background, were not prepared for the primary educational experience.

WHAT

As the model title indicates, the involvement, education, and participation of parents is primary. The Richmond program is one of twelve in the U.S.



that uses the Ira Gordon model. The model has the following objectives:

- 1. Training of mothers, two in each classroom in the combined role of parent educator and teacher auxiliary
- 2. Aiding the teacher in the use of paraprofessional personnel
- 3. Development of educational naterials for home use that take into account school goals for the child and family expectations for the child's life styles and value system
- 4. Involvement of the Parent Advisory Committee in all phases of the program
- 5. Provision of a set of teacher behavior models to serve as guides in planning activities
- 6. Increased individualization of instruction to meet each child's needs

To these model objectives, the Richmond planners have added the following objectives:

- 7. Creation of an atmosphere within the classroom that is conducive to learning.
- 8. Development of a team of professionals and paraprofessionals cognizant of the needs of young children and their parents
- 9. Establishment of a guide to creating a gositive self-image in the children and parents through counseling, rewards reinforcement, and positive critical and continual advice, direction, and evaluation
- 10. Provision of medical, dental, social, and psychological services to all enrolled who cannot afford to ray for them
- 11. Development of a nutrition and health education program for parents, staff, and children
- 12. Coordination via the central administrative offices of the Follow Through program with other Richmond public schools
- 13. An informed public and encouragement of community participation
- 14. Utilization of volunteers and students in all phases of the program to provide research materials through the school system
- 15. Conduct of research and feedback results to parents, staff and community
- 16. Conduct of monthly training programs for Follow Through staff
- 17. Achievement of readiness and communications skills



HOW

The Follow Through program achieves these objectives through a varied program in which heavy emphasis is given to the active participation of parents in all parts of the learning experience. Before a child enters the program his parents agree to contribute 20% of their time to some aspect of the program, e.g. washing soiled art smocks, providing transportation for field trips, planning and supervising a physical education activity, etc. Parents are also required to allow home visits by the parent educator whose function is to help them learn an-individual task to teach their child. Finally, all are urged to join the Parent Advisory Committee to promote the program.

A teacher and two parent educators work in each classroom of 25 pupils. The classrooms are colorful and contain many exciting learning materials. The daily schedule is flexible and can be changed if circumstances and children's needs warrant. In some instances, teachers use the open classroom, while others employ a more traditional organizational structure.

THE LEARNING TASK

An important part of each child's curriculum is the individual task that is planned for each child. All the people involved in the child's learning experience--teacher, parent educator, and parent--participate in the determination, execution and achievement of the learning task. In terms of quantity, the number of tasks already developed and accessable to the educators fills several huge notebooks. In addition, new tasks are being developed and distributed monthly due to the efforts of parents, teachers, parent educators, curriculum specialists and the center at the University of Florida. As a result of the volume of tasks on hand and the rapid growth of new tasks, all tasks are coded by numbers.

Each child in the classroom receives an individualized task based on his or her level of achievement or performance. These tasks are first sent to the central Follow Through office for approval by the director and curriculum specialist. If they are approved, the teachers receive a coded series of sequenced tasks which are placed in the child's folder. The tasks are available for other teachers to use, although the teacher is encouraged to develop new tasks weekly.

The Institute at the University of Florida has stated the following as being characteristic of a good task:

When:

- 1. The learner does a lot of talking
- 2. The learner has fun doing it; there's a lot of interest and action
- 3. The directions are clear enough that it can be taught
- 4. You and the learner understand why you are doing it
- 5. It encourages the teacher to use a lot of ways to teach, and the learner to try different ways to do it
- 6. If possible home materials are used
- 7. The learner knows he has learned something, he can see it right away and feel good about it
- 8. The learner is encouraged to think up new activities or things to do which grow out of the task

The parent educators gather data on the children's skills areas in order to develop the task. In her weekly visit with the parents, the parent educator gives the parent a personal plan directly related to the child's activity and progression in school. The parent is taught how to introduce the task, explain its relevance, and help the child to understand it. Then he or she practices the presentation with the parent educator who acts as child. The following week the parent educator returns to determine if the task was presented (An informal check is made in class to determine whether this is done); the amount



of time spent (15 minutes per day is the minimum); and the level of success achieved. The parents are asked to conceive tasks for their children and to send them to school for the teacher to develop.

The underlying theme of the home visit is to discuss, recognize and establish a method of resolving problems in the home and to help the parent become aware of self in relation to children, community, and school. The children are pleased with their parents' awareness of the school activities and with parental assistance at home.

TEACHER BEHAVIORS

Curricula vary somewhat among the Follow Through schools depending on each school's philosophy for academics. In addition to the learning tasks, the other theme which is stressed in all the Follow Through classrooms is that of teacher behaviors. These have been selected as important foci in teaching style, and, most desirably, should be incorporated into all teaching-learning situations. These teaching behaviors are not confined to the Mother-Child interactions, but are also appropriate for Teacher-Child, Parent Educator-Mother and Teacher-Parent Educator Interactions:

- 1. Elicit questions from the learner.
- 2. Elicit more than one-word answers from the learner; encourage the learner to enlarge upon response and use complete sentences.
- 3. Ask questions that have more than one correct answer.
- 4. Praise the learner when he does well or even takes small steps in the right direction. Let the learner know when he is wrong, but do so in a positive or neutral manner.
- 5. Get the learner to evaluate or make judgments or choices on the basis of evidence and/or criteria, rather than by random guessing, chance, luck, authority, etc.
- 5. Give the learner time to think about the problem; don't be too quick to help.



7. Give the child some time to familiarize himself with the task materials. Before proceeding into a structured learning situation, give the learner an introduction or overview.

WHO THE STAFF

The project is built on the concept of TEAM (Together Each Achieves More).

Although the present director of the program contributes immleasurably to the program's success, the structure of the model is so completely developed that a less successful supervisor could execute it with positive, albeit not so dramatic, results. The project director serves as a liaison between the home, school, and the University of Florida Institute. Promoting public relations in an official capacity is a major function. She also coordinates and supervises various components of the program, such as in-service meetings and workshops, weekly evaluation of the components of the program, conferences with members of supportive services, etc.

The staff includes the director, classroom teachers, parent educators, institute consultants (part-time), volunteers, psychologist, career development specialist, home-school coordinator, parent educator coordinator, instruction curriculum specialist, school social worker, and a guidance counselor.

The teachers in the program were chosen from the Richmond school system. Each teacher acts as a supervisor and trainer to the parent educators within her class. While general materials are prescribed by the central administration, each teacher may request additional materials. Each month the teacher accompanies the parent educator to the students' homes.

The parent educator, the central part of the program, works in the classroom with the teacher and pupils and at home with the parents.



Although no formal schooling is necessary, the model requires knowledge equivalent to an eighth grade education. The parent educator is responsible for 1-15 one hour visits per week and classroom teacher assistance on three days of the week. She receives weekly training. Parents with children in the program receive priority for these positions, and the other participants come from the community. The PAC committee screens applicants for this position before they are approved by the school personnel office. All meet the federal income requirements. Initially there were two male parent educators; however, one is now director of a program he started with skills gained in the Follow Through program.

The consultant from the University of Florida plans with the director and attends the monthly meeting for instructional staff.

A speech therapist and psychologist work with children on a regular basis at the request of the teacher. Parents must give written request to the administration for this service. A free medical diagnostic service is available for those children under the poverty line and at a nominal cost to others. Two counselors support the program and work closely with the social worker.

The curriculum supervisor is responsible for all phases of curriculum support to the classroom teacher and for supplying continued material for staff development.

Because the education of parents is a vital part of the program, the career development specialist exercises an important role. A program of health education and career development is provided on a regular basis with advisory and placement services available to the parents. The career development specialist is responsible for the parent educators' staff



development and for the individual development of the parents with children in Follow Through. This includes job placement, education, appearance, and health. Mrs. Johnson, the career development specialist, conducts the weekly parent educator inservice training sessions and coordinates the activities of student-teachers, volunteers, and tutors. Currently 30 parents and parent educators are in college; they receive special tutoring in the courses they take. She maintains a list of available positions, counsels parents, and assists with high school equivalency. She is also the liaison to Virginia Union and Virginia Commonwealth Universities.

The parent educator coordinator coordinates and directs their activities.

A former teacher in the program, she serves as a liaison between parents

and program.

Volunteers, who include parents, college students and retired teachers participate daily in the program.

INSERVICE TRAINING

Parent educators meet weekly on Friday afternoons to discuss programs and receive further training. In addition, the instructional staff meets monthly for an entire morning. At these group meetings, specialists, such as mental health consultants (who discuss community health and classroom dynamics) or nutrition experts (who speak on methods of teaching health habits) address the group. These meetings are held in a different Follow Through school each month. In the afternoon, the teachers, accompanied by the parent educators, visit the homes of their participating students.

The staff also is encouraged to study other innovative programs to learn of new techniques. A modified T group and an Encounter Group to deal with internal staff problems is also held on a regular basis.

WHO PARENTS

Parents participate in this program at every level. They teach at home and in the schools. Most parents are very enthusiastic about the program. If the white parents do not accept the parent educator in their home, their child must withdraw from the program. The parent educator home placement is based on classroom assignment, and no attempt is made to match parent educators with parents according to race.

If the mother's educational level and willingness to implement the task obviate the necessity of the parent educator's home visit, the task is sent home without assistance. Parents frequently participate in the various educational and cultural trips provided by the program. All children in one family are not necessarily involved in the program, although many families do have more than one child in the program. Unfortunately, fathers are not very involved in the program.

THE COMMUNITY

Through the Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) and the extensive liaison with universities, the community is very involved in this Follow Through program. The committee is open to everyone in the community, although parents predominate. One of the program objectives is continual and extensive information and feedback to the community, and it seems to have had an impact. The committee is involved in curriculum, evaluation, budget, and community action. At monthly meetings, the staff presents a proposed educational program prior to its being placed in the Follow Through curriculum. The PAC also interviews and must approve all personnel prior to their engagement by the program. It also plans educational and social events for the parents.

HOW COST

The program has an annual budget of \$710,980.99 to cover a twelve-month program for all children. Of this total, \$457,673.29 are EOA funds; \$114,329.11 are from ESEA Title I; and \$119,461.60 from Richmond funds. The total cost per child in the Follow Through program exceeds the Richmond public school's per pupil allotment of \$700.00 by \$650.00.

EVALUATION

Various kinds of tests designed to measure both cognitive learning and attitudinal change have been administered. Final results were not yet available as of January 1973. Previous results have been summarized:

In summary, for the affective area the analysis of self concept changes was inconclusive. Positive changes, however, were rated for one particular index--Home-Adjustment--of child behavior. In the cognitive domain, results were good. Follow Through children made positive verbal and quantitative gains as a result of the Follow Through Program, placing them at par with other "regular" (or control) pupils in the Richmond Public Schools.

EFFECTIVENESS

The school's involvement in the total development of the child and the particular emphasis on strengthening the parents' roles as facilitators of child development are the premises that underlie the project. In an in-school program which duplicates modern concepts of early childhood education, it—emphasizes cognitive development, through attention to communication skills and other academic readiness skills; affective development, through an emphasis on self-concept and social coping; and physical development, through health and nutritional services. It is the project's efforts at strengthening and enhancing the parental role that make it unique. In the pursuit of this objective, work with parents appears to receive as much attention as does work with children. In addition to the usual forms of parent



education with respect to child care, attention is given to parental selfconcept and parental motivation. In the instructional work with parents,
special emphasis is given to assisting parents in the mastery of those
behaviors which actively support what the children do and leach in school.

The basic elements of this program were developed elsewhere by Ira Gordon and his associates, and the essential question with respect to the appropriateness of those elements has been fairly well-established through Gordon's research. Since the Richmond project represents one of the best applications of the model, it is exemplary of one of the major approaches to upgrading educational development through early intervention. Because of its heavy dependence on the level of parental cooperation, the appropriateness of this project may be influenced by the nature of the population involved. There may be a question with respect to the utility of this model in work with most disequalized families, sometimes referred to as the hard-to-reach. Confronted with 4 parents who show a relatively low level of motivation for active involvement in the development of their children, the project staff faces the exceedingly heavy responsibility for not only achieving their involvement but for main-. taining it on a level appropriate to this project which is so heavily dependent upon parent participation. On the other hand, the project's concern for parent action, community organization, and active flow of home-school curriculum, as well as active involvement in the development of parents as people, probably comes as close to any program currently available for meeting the needs implicit in this kind of problem.

It should not go unnoted that this project is being implemented in a southern city with an ethnically mixed population. Although we are working with an age group which some consider easiest to work with in regard to ethnic mix, the fact that the project places such heavy emphasis on adult participation contributes

an additional complicating dimension. The extent of "white flight" in certain residential areas is a source of some concern. (One school, to which black children are bussed, is currently operating at one-third capacity, and the project is 75% black). However, he number of white parents who form the minority that works effectively with the parent educators, most of whom are black, is impressive. Even though it is not the purpose of the project to achieve integration, this utilization of high level parental interest, developed in the pursuit of solutions to common problems in child rearing, is commendable.

'Ira Cordon Follow Through

Richmond, Virginia

- I. Parent Participation and Education
 - Adams, J. Curr, 8.; and Mills, L. <u>Preschool and Primary Education Program:</u>

 <u>Parent Education Program</u>. Harrishing, Pennsylvania, July 1968.

 (Typewritten.)
 - Bank, L. M. and Brooks, L. "Elementary Cumselors Implement the Parent Principle." Elementary School and Guidance and Counseling, 5 (May 1971), 273-280.
 - Caldwell, 8. "What Is the Optimal Learning Environment for the Young Child?"

 American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 37 (1967), 8-21.
 - Cohen, L. "The Teacher's Role and Limison Between School and Neighborhood."

 Linking Home and School. Edited by M. Chaft et al. New York; Longmans, 1967.
 - Conant, M. M. "Teachers and Parents: Changing Roles and Goals." Childhood Education, 48 (December 1971), 114-118.
 - Cowen, N. "The Place of the Parent." Trends în Education, July 1966.
 - Department of Education and Science. <u>Parent/Teacher Relations in Primary Schools</u>:
 Education Sarvey 5 (. MSD 1968).
 - Division of Compensatory Education. "The Role of the School Community Agent."
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: Board of Public Education, 1967 (mimeographed).
 - Division of Compensatory Education. "The Preprimary Program." Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: *
 Board of Public Education, 1967 (mimeographed.).
 - Fantini, M. "Alternatives for Urban School Reform." Harvard Educational Review, (1968), 1-19.
 - Freeberg, N. E. and Byne, D. T. "Parental Influences on Cognitive Development in Early Childhood: A Review." Child Development, 38 (march 1967).
 - Frey, G. T. "Improving School Community Relations; O'Farrell Junior High School,
 San Diego, California." Todays Education, January 1971, 14-17.
 - Fusco, G. C. "Reaching the Parents." The Inner-city Classroom: Teacher.,
 Behaviors. Edited by R. Strom. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill,
 1966, 145-162.
 - Galway, J. "A New Approach to PTA Organization", Comprehensive Education, (Spring 1969)
 - Gerber, Malcolm. " A Relationship Between Measures of the Home Environment and Intelligence Scores." <u>Proceedings of the American Psychological Association</u> (September 1970).

- Gordon, I. J. A Parent Education Approach to Provision of Early Stimulation for the Culturally Disagrantated. (Final report, Fund for the Advancement of Education, Institute for the Davelopment of Human Resource:.).

 Gainesville, Florida: University of Florida, 1967.
- Gordon, I.J. <u>Early Child Stimulation through Parent Education</u>. (Progress Report to the Children's Sureau, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Grant No. PHS-R-305.). Gainesville, Florida: University of Florida, 1968.
- Gordon, I.J. (Ed.). Reaching the Child Through Parent Education: The Florida Approach. Gainesville, Florida: Institute for Development of Human Resources, University of Florida, 1969.
- Cordon, I. J. Relationshios Entween Selected Family Variables and Maternal and Infant Sehavior in a Disadvantaged Scoulation. (A supplementary report to the Fund for the Advancement of Education, Institute for the Development of Human Resources.). Gainesville, Florida: University of Florida, 1969.
- Gordon, I.J. "Helping Mothers Teach Their Babies." Mothers' Manual, January, 1969, 30-31.
- Cordon, I. J. "The Beginnings of the Self: The Problem of the Nurturing Environment." <u>Kaopan</u>, 1 (1969), 375-378.
- Gordon, I. J. "The Parent as Teacher Approach." In Education and the Cit: Child--Some New Approaches. New York::Day Care Council of New York, Inc., 1959,
 21-36.
- Gordon, I. J. "Self Help Approach: Parents as Teachers." Compact, December 1969, 32-35.
- Gordon, I. J. Gordon, I. J. "Developing Parent Power." In Critical Issues in Research
 Related to Disadvantaged Children. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational
 Testing Service, September 1969, Seminar #5, 1024.
- Gordon, I. J. "Reaching the Young Child Through Parent Education." Childhood Education, 43 (February 1970), 247-249.
- Gordon, I. J. Baby Learning Through Baby Play: A Parent's Guide for the First Two Years. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1970.
- Gordon, I. J. Parent Involvement in Compensatory Education. Urbana, Illinois: ERIC Clearinghouse, National Laboratory on Early Childhood Education, 1971.

- Gray, S., and Klaus, R. "An Experimental Preschool Program for Culturally Deprived Children." Child Davolopment, 36 (1965), 887-898.
- Greenwood, G. E. et al. "Some Promisin; Approaches to Parent Involvement; Florida Follow-through Program." 129, 11 (June 1972), 183-189.
- Grotberg, Edith H. "Early Childhood Education: Institutional Responsibilities for Early Childhood Education." National Society for the Study of Education Yearbook, 71 (1972), 317-338.
- Handler, E. "Teacher Parent Relations in Preschool." <u>Urban Education</u>, 6 (July 1971), 215-232.
- Henderson, R. W. "Research and Consultation in a Natural Environment."

 Psychology in the School, 7 (October, 1970), 355-441.
- Hornsky Smith, M.P. "Parents and Primary Schools", New Society, January 1968.
- Instructor. "Involving Parents in the Classroom," August, 1972, 54-58.
- Karnes, M.; Studley, W.; and Wright, W. An Accroach for Working with Parents of Disadvantaged Children: A Pilot Project. (Research Report, IREC, U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Grant OE 10-235.). Illinois: University of Illinois, 1966.
- Levin, T. "Preschool Education and the Communities of the Poor." The Disadvantaged Learner: Knewing, Understanding, Educating, Edited by J. Hellmuth. Sn Francisco: Chandler, 1966, 398-406.
- McPhail, G. "Getting the Parents Involved." Academic Therapy, 7 (Spring 1972), 271-275.
- Milne, T. S. <u>Student Syllneus for Preschool Child Parent Participation Classes</u>.

 San Diego, California: San Diego City Schools, 1964.
- Milner, E. "A Study of the Relationships Between Reading Readiness in Grade One Children and Patterns of Parent Child Interaction." Child Davelopment, 22 (1951), 95-112.
- Office of Economic Opportunity. Parent and Child Centers: A Guide for the Development of Parent and Child Centers, Washington, D. C.: Office of Economic Opportunity, 1967.
- Office of Economic Opportunity. Project Head Start Points for Parents. Washington, D. C. Office of Economic Opportunity, 1967.
- Orem, R. (Ed.). Montessori for the Disadvantaced. New York: C. P. Putnam's Sons, 1967.
- Palmo, Artis J.; Kuzniar, Joseph. "Modification of Behavior Therapy, Group Counseling and Consultation." Elementary School Guidance and Counseling, 6 (May 1972), 258-262.

-4-

- Radin, N., and Weikart, D. "A Home Teaching Program for Disadvantaged Preschool Children." Journal of Special Education, 1 (1967), 183-190.
- Rietz, D., and Riez, R. "Linking the School with the Home." Montessori for the Disadvantaged. Edited by R. Orem. New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons. 1967, 118-134.
- Sharrock, A. N. "Aspects of Communication Setween Schools and Parents: Great Britain." Educational Researcher, 12 (June 1970), 194-201.
- Siegil, Batty Lentz. "The Parent Visitor Educator as Home Visitor." Reaching the Child Through Parent Education: The Florida Approach. Edited by Irad. Gordon. Gainesville, Florida: Institute for Development of Human Resources, University of Florida, February, 1969.
- Sullivan, H. J., and Labeaune, C. "Parents: Summer Reading Teachers! First Year Communication Skills Program of the Southwest Regional Laboratory." Elementary School Journal, 71 (February, 1971), 279-285.
- West, E. "Home-School Relationships." Journal of Neoro Education, 36 (1967), 349-352.
- Wolman, T., and Levenson, D. "Parent-School Partnership in Pre-Kindergarten."

 <u>Teachers College Record</u>, 61 (1968), 421-431.

- II. The Role, Need and Function of the Paraprofessional
 - Allen, Dwight W., and Morrison, Gary L. "Differentiated Staffing and the Nonprofessional: A Need for Educational Personnel Davalogment."

 Journal of Research and Davalogment in Education, 5 (Winter 1972), 51-56.
 - Anderson, Vilton. "Career Devalopment: Lattice, Recruitment, Training, and Evaluation."

 Journal of Reserved and Devalopment in Education, 5 (Winter 1972), 3-28.-
 - Dournal of Research and Davelopment in Education, 5 (Winter 1972), 31-50.
 - Bowman, Garda W. "Three Dimensional Team Training." <u>Journal of Research and Development in Education</u>, 5 (Winter 1972), 83-90.
 - . "Toom Training in Systematic Observation of Early Childhood Education in the Career Opportunities Program." <u>Journal of Research and Development in Education</u>, 5 (Winter 1972), 185-148.
 - Coldwell, 8. What Is the Optimal Learning Environment for the Young Child?"

 American Journal of Orthonsychiatry, 37 (1967), 8-21.
 - Canady, Robert, and Loyforth, John T. "Teacher Administrator Expectations in Defining Roles for Paraprofessionals." <u>Education</u>, 92 (February March 1972), 99-102
 - Comen. N. "The Place of the Parent." Trends in Education, (July 1966).
 - Craft, M. "Developments in Interprofessional Training." <u>Journal of Higher Education</u>, (September 1969).
 - Erb, J. "Use of Paraprofessionals." Educational Leadership, 29 (January 1972), 323-325.
 - Freeborg, N.E., and Payne, D. T. "Porchtal Influences on Cognitive Development in Early Childhood: A Review." Child Dovelopment, 38 (March 1967).
 - National Education Association. Research Division. "Holoing Yeachors with Teacher Aides." National Education Association Research Bulletin, 50 (May 1972), 60-63.
 - Pope, L. "Blueprint for a Successful Paraprofessional Tutorial Program."

 Negro Education Research, 22 (April 1971), 168-113.
 - Rauch, S.J. Musing Paraprofessionals as Reading Aids." International Anading Association Conference Papers (Reading Methods and Teacher Improvesset), 15 (1971), 134-100.
 - Smith, N. C. "Utilization of Volunteer Aids in a Helping Relationship with Children."

 Journal of 900001 2006holony, @ (1970), 202-208.
 - Wolman, T., and Levenson, D. "Parent School Partnership in Pra-Kindergarten."

 Teachers College Record, 69 (1968), 421-431.
 - Wolotsky, Hymen and others. "The Percerofessional in the Role of Adult Learner."

 Journal of Francisch and Development in Education, 5 (Winter 1972), 101-105.

III. Nutrition and Health Care

- Abelson, Philip H. "Malnutrition, Learning and Behavior." Science, 17 (April 4, 1969), 164.
- Baken, Rita. "Malnutition and Learning." (Center for Urban Affairs),
 LD 311 544. 1971.
- Baker, Mary Jean. "Influence of Nutrition Education on Fourth and Fifth . . . Graders." <u>Journal of Nutrition Education</u>, 4 (Spring, 1972), 55-37.
- Bettleheim, Bruno. Food to Nuture the Mind. Washington, D. C.: The Children's Foundation, 1970.
- Birch, Hertert G. "Health and the Education of Socially Disadvantaged Children." Journal of Developmental Medicine and Child Neurolooy, 10 (1968), 580-599.
- Birch, Herbert G., and Gussow, J. D. <u>Disadvantaged Children: Mealth, Mutrition</u> and School Failure. New York: Harcourt Brace and World, and Grune and Stratton, Inc., 1970.
- Birch, Herbert G., and Grotberg, Edith H., (Eds.). Designs and Proonsal for Early Childhood Research: A New Look: Malnutrition, Learning and Intelligence. Washington, D. C.: Office of Economic Opportunity, Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, 1971.
- Their Relationship with Intellectual Development. (National Center for Educational Research and Development). Washington, D. C.: Division of Educational Laboratories.
- Chase, H. P.; and Martin, H. P. "Undernutrition and Child Development."

 New England Journal of Medicine, (April 23, 1970), 933-939.
- Crevioto, J.; Delicardie, E. R.; and Birch, H. G. Nutrition, Growth, and Neurointegrative Development: An Experimental and Ecologic Study.".

 Supplement to Pediatric, 38: 2, PartII (August 1966), 319-372.;;
- Cravioto, J.; Espinoza, C. G.; and Birch, H. G. Early Malnutrition and Auditory-visual Integration in School Age Children. Journal of Special Education, 2 (1967), 75-82.
- Dairy Council Dioest. "Malnutrition in Early Life and Subsequent Mental Performance," 39, May-June, 1968, 13-16.
- Egan, Mary C. "Nutrition Services in Child Health Programs." <u>Journal of</u>
 American Dietetic Association, 59 (December 1971), 555-559.
- Filer, L. J., Jr. "The United States Today: Is It Free of Public Health Nutrition Problems? Anemia." American Journal of Public Health, 59 (1969), 327-338.

- Frisch, Rose I. Present Status of the Supposition that Malnutrition Causes
 Permanent Mental Retardation. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition,
 23, (February 1970), 189-195.
- Greenberg, Jerold S. "Emerging Educational Concepts and Health Instruction."

 Journal of School Health, 42 (June 1972), 356-357.
- "Hunger, USA: A Report by the Citizens' Board of Inquiry into Hunger and Malnutrition in the United States." Washington, D. C.: New Community Press, 1968, 1-100.
- Hurley, R. L. Poverty and Mental Retardation: A Causal Relationship. New York: Random House, 1969.
- Myren, J. H. "Health Education Through Parent Participation, It's Happening Now." Elementary School Health, 41 (April 1971), 217-219.
- Nader, Philip R.; and Others. "The School Health Service: A New Model."
 Pediatrics, 49 (June 1972), 805-813.
- Nolte, A. E. "Health Values for Your Children." <u>Instructor</u>, 81 (August 1971), 47-55.
- North, F. A. "Research Issues in Child Health I: An Overview." Pediatrics, 45 ... (April 1970).
- North, F. A. "Troject Headstart: Its Implications for School Health."

 <u>American Journal of Public Health</u>, 60 (April 1970) 698-703.
- Nutrition and Intellectual Crowth in Children. Bullotin 25-A, Washington, D. C.: Association for Childhood Education International, 1969.
- Nutrition and Human Needs. Hearings before the Solect Committee on Nutrition and Human Needs of the United States Senate. Parts 1, 2, 3, and 15, (1968-1969).

 Parts 1 and 2, 1970. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office.
- Paige, J. C. "Health Programs for the Disadvantaged: Implications for School Health." <u>Journal of School Health</u>, 40 (March 1970), 123-126.
- Pys, O. F. "Nutrition Educator in the Community." <u>Persocctives in Education</u>, Fall 1971, 5-14,
- Ricciuti, H. "Malnutrition, Learning and Intellectual Development: Research and Remediation." <u>Psychology and Problems of Society</u>, Washington, D. C.:
 American Psychological Association, 1970.
- Scrimshaw, N. S., and Gordon, E. (Eds.). Malnutrition, Learning and Schavior. Cambridga, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 1968.
- Selph, Annabelle D. "Focus on Optimal Development: Improving Child Nutrition."

 Journal of Mutrition Education, 4 (Spring, 1972), 68-69.
- Their Daily Bread: A Study of the National School Lunch Program. New York: Committee on School Lunch Participation, 1968.
- Willgoose, Carl E. "Dental Health: It's a Live Subject." Instructor, February 1972, 58-5

- IV. Development of Self-concept
 - Aspy, D. N. "Better Self Concepts Through Success." <u>Journal of Megro Education</u>, 40 (Fall 1971), 69-72.
 - Baken, R. "Academic Performance and Self-concept as a function of Achievement-Variability." Journal of Educational Methods, 8 (Winter 1971), 317-319.
 - Baron, R. M. et al. "Type and Frequency of Praise as Determinants of Favorability of Self-image: an Experiment in a Field Setting. "
 Journal of Personality, 39 (December 1971), 493-511.
 - Cicirelli, V. G. et al. "Measures of Self-concept, Attitudes, and Achievement, Motivation of Primary Grade Children; Childrens Self-concept Indax."

 Journal of School Psychology, 9 (Winter 1971), 382-92.
 - Dil, N. and Gotts, E. E. "Improvement of Arithmetic Self Concept Through Combined Positive Reinforcement, Peer Interaction, and Sequential Curriculum."

 Journal of School Psychology, 9 (Winter 1971), 462-472.
 - Dinkmeyer, D. Child Development: The Emeroing Self. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1965.
 - Dinkmeyer, D. "Top Priority: Understanding Self and Others." Elementary School Journal, 72 (November 1971), 62-71.
 - Edwards, B. S. "Therapeutic Value of Reading." <u>Elementary English</u>, 49 (February 197 213-218.
 - Erikson, E. "Identity and Life Cycle." Psychological Issues, 1 (1959), 1-165.
 - Erikson, E. Childhood and Society. New York: Norton, 1963.
 - Felker, D. W. and Thomas, S. B. "Self-initiated Verbal Reinforcement and Positive Self-concept." Child Development, 42 (October 1971), 1285-1287.
 - Glock, M. D. "Is There a Pygmalion in the Classroom?" Reading Teacher, Winter 1972, 405-408.
 - Guardo, C. J. and Bohan, J. B. "Development of a Sensa of Self-identity in Children." Child Development, 42 (December 1971), 1909-1921.
 - Herris, C. M. "Scholastic Self-concept in Early and Middle Adolescents."

 Adolescence, Fall 1971, 269-278.
 - Menderson, E. H. and Long, B. H. "Personal-social Correlates of Academic Success Among Disadvantaged School Beginners." <u>Journal of School Psychology</u>, 9 (1971), 101-131.
 - Horowitz, F. D. "The Relationship of Anxiety, Self-concept, and Seciometric Status Among Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Grade Children." <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>, 65 (1962), 212-214.

- Kleinfeld, J. "Relative Importance of Teachers and Parents in the Formation of Negro and White Students! Academic Self-concept." Journal of Educational Research: 65 (January 1972), 211-212.
- Meyers, R. "Most Important Concept of All." School and Community, 58 (May 1972), 18+.
- Ogletree, E. J. and Ujlaki, V. E. "Rule Disparities and Hamageneous Ability Grouping." Education, 91. (February 1971), 250-257.
- Powers, J. M. et. al. "Research Nate on the Self-perception of Youth." American Educational Research, 8 (November 1971), 665-670.
- Kezler, A. C. and Anderson, A. S. "Focused and Unfocused Feedback and Self-perception Journal of Educational Research, 65 (October 1971), 61-64.
- Soares, A. T. and Soares, L. M. "Comparative Differences in the Self-gerceptions of Disadvantaged and Advantaged Students." <u>Journal of School Psychology</u>, 9 (Winter 424-429.
- Stabler, J. R. et. al. "Measurement of Children's Self-concepts as Related to Racial Membership." Child Development, 42 (December 1971), 2094-2097.
- Stoner, W. G. and Riese, H. C. "Study of Change in Perception of Self and Ideal Self." Counseli .ation and Supervision, 11 (December 1971), 115-118.
- Strasser. B. B. "Self-image Goals for Science Education." Science Teachar, 38 (May 19 48.
- Survant, Al "Building Pasitive Self-cancepts." Instructor, 81 (February 1972), 94.
- Trowbridge, N. "Socioeconomic Status and Self-concept of Children; IMPACT."

 <u>Teaching Edu. 1972</u>, 23 (Spring 1972), 63-65.
- Wellman, B. "I Am : Student." Sociology of Education, 44 (Fall 1971), 422-437.
- White, K. and Allen R. "Art Counseling in an Educational Setting: Self-concept Change Among Pre-adolescent Boys." <u>Journal of School Psychology</u>, 9 (1971), 218-225.
- Zirkel, P. A. "Salf-concept and the Disadvantage of Ethnic Group Memoership and Mixture." Review of Educational Research, 41 (June 1971), 211-225.

I. Philosophy

- American Association of School Administrators, Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, and National Association of Secondary School Principles. A Climate for Individuality: A Statement of the Joint Project on the Individual and the School. Machington, D.C.: National Educational Association, Department of Aural Education, 1965.
- Archambault, Reginald D. (Ed.). John Daway on Education, Selected Writings.
 New York: Mcdern Library, Inc., 1954.
- Ashton Warner, Sylvia. Teacher. New York: Simon and Schuster, Inc., 1963.
- Combs, Arthur W. and Snygg, Donald. <u>Individual Bahavior</u>. New York: Harper and Row Publishers, 1959.
- Deutsch, M.P. "The Role of Social Class in Language Davelopment and Cognition."

 <u>American Journal of Orthopsychictry</u>, 35 (1955): 78 88.
 - Deway, John. The Child and the Curriculum. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1902.
 - Dinkmayer, D. Child Davelooment: The Emercino Self. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey:
 Prentica Hall, 1965.
 - Erikson, Erik H. Childhood and Society. 2nd edition. New York: W.W. Norton and Company, 1963.
 - Gordon, Ira J. Studyino the Child in School. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1965.
 - Havighurst, Robert J. <u>Developmental Tasks and Education</u>. New York: Lengmans, Green, and Company, 1930.
 - Rasmussen, Margaret (Ed.). Individualizing Education. Washington, D.C.: Association Childhood International, 1954
 - Skinner, S.F. Science and Wurne Rehavior. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1953.
 - Skinner, S.F. "The Science of Learning and the Art of Teaching." Harvard Educational Review, 24, 1954, 85-97.



:VI. Early Intervention----Rationale

- Alma, M. Young Children's Thinking: Sour Assects of Picost's Theory. New York: Teachers Coilege Press, Columbia University, 1956.
- Anderson, Robert H., and Shane, Harold G. <u>Early Childhood Education</u>: <u>Implications</u>
 of <u>Early Childhood Education for Lifelane Lagraina</u>. wasnington, D.C.:
 National Society for the Study of Education Yearsook, n71pt, 1972, 367-390.
- Coleman, J.S., et al. <u>Equality of Educational Secontumity</u>, Washington, D.C.:
 Covernment Printing Office, 1966.
- Fowler, William. "Cognitive Learning in Infancy and Early Childhood."
 Psychological Syllatin, 59 (1982),116-182.
- Gessil, Arnold, and Ilg, Francis B. The Child from Five to Ten. New York: Harper Brothers, 1945.
- Gordon, Ira. "The Beginnings of the Self: The Problems of the Nurturing Environment." Phi Delta Kanona, 1(1959), 375-378.
- Guinagh, Barry J. "Needed: A Theoretical Rationale Symposium." Symposium on Issues in the Intellectual Evaluation of the Pre-Schooler. New York:

 American Educational Research Association, February, 1971.
- Hunt, J. McV. Intelligence and Experience. New York; Ronald Press, 1961.
- Inhelder, Barbel, and Piaget, J. The Early Growth of Looic in the Child.
 New York: Harrer and Row, 1964.
- Jester, Emile R. "Intellectual Stimulation of the Preschooler and its Implications in the Development of Reading Skills." 20 Annual Reading Conference.

 Bethlem, Pennsylvania: Lehigh University, March 27, 1971.
- Johnson, Charles. Intensified Preschool Training and Follow Through. (Research and Development in Educational Stimulation) Georgia: University of Georgia, 1968.
- Moore, Omar K., and Anderson, Alan R. Early Reading and Writing. Part I:
 Skills (motion picture). Guilford, Connecticut: Basic Education, Inc., 1960.
- .Early Reading and Writing, Part II: Thinking Methods (motion picture). Guilford, Connecticut: Basic Education, Inc., 1950.
- Percy, C.H. "Early Schooling: A National Commitment." American Association
 School Administration Report 1987. Washington, D.C.: National Society for
 the Study of Education Yearbook, 1972, 64-75.



:VI. (continued)

- Piaget, Jean. The Gridin of Intellicence in Children. New York: Norton Library, 1952.
- Scheefer, E.S. "Converging Conceptual Models for Maternal Schevior and for Child Schavior." <u>Parental Attitudes and Child Schavior</u>. Edited by J. Glidawell. Springfield, Illinois: Charles C. Tasmas.
- Scott, J.P. "Critical Periods in Senavioral Development." <u>Science</u>, 1962, 133, #3544.
- Siegel, 8. and Wass, H. <u>Psychology of Early Childhood: A Book of Readings</u>. New York: MSS Educational Publishing Company, Inc., 1970.
- Sigel, I.S. "The Attainment of Concepts." Child Development Research. Edited by M.L. Hoffman and L.W. Hoffman. New York: Russell Sage Founcation, 1964.
- Smilansky, S. "Progress Report on a Program to Demonstrate Whys of Using a Year of Kindergarten to Promote Cognitive Abilities." Israel: Henrietta Szold Institute, 1964.
- Wann, Kenneth D.; Dorn, Mariam S.; and Liddle, Elizabeth A. <u>Fostering Intellication</u>

 <u>Development in Young Children</u>. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers

 <u>College</u>, Columbia University, 1962.
- Young Children. "Developmental Theory: It's Place and Relevance in Early Intervention Programs," 27, August 1972, 364-372.

VII. Public Documents

- A Manual of Policies and Instruction: Headstart Child Davelopment Programs, September 1967.
- Backer, Wesley C. Behavior Theory Models for Follow Through Programs.
 Illinois: University of Illinois, 1968.
- Binford, V. M. "Follow Through in Richmons." <u>Virginia Journal of Education</u>, 61 (March 1968), 22-23.
- Butler, Annie L. and Others. <u>Current Research in Early Childhood Education</u>, Nashington, D. C.: American Association of Elementary - Kintergarten Nursry Educators, National Education Association Center, 1970.
- Gordon, Ira. The Florida Parent Education Model. (Institute for Development of Human Resources, College of Education). Florida: University of Florida, 1967.
- Johnson, Charles. <u>Intensified Preschool Training and Follow Through</u>.

 (Research and Development Center in Educational Stimulation). Georgia:
 University of Georgia, 1968.
- Resnick, Lauren and Bolvin, John. <u>Individually Prescribed Instruction and the</u>.

 <u>Primary Education Project</u>. (Learning Research and Development Center).

 <u>Pittsburgh</u>, Pennsylvania: University of Pittsburgh, 1970.
- Richmond Public Schools. Meet Follow Through in Richmond, Virginia. Richmond Board of Education, 1970.